Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/09/14: CIA-RDP80-00809A000600030393-3

CONFIDENTIAL -225X1 25X1

successfully reproduced it.

Certain details of the Soviet system for controlling foot and mouth disease in the absence of a successful vaccine, may be of interest. When one animal on a collective farm became infected with foot and mouth disease, it was generally the practice to infect deliberately all the other cattle on the farm and on nearby farms. The was done by rubbing a towel around in the mouth of the infected animal and then rubbing it in the mouths of the other cattle. After this was done, a strict and thorough quarantine was imposed over the area. This practice was based on the fact that eventual infection of the whole local cattle population was inevitable as soon as one unimal became afflicted and the necessary period of quarantine could be reduced greatly by inducing simultaneous infection. In these instances, an average of 75% of the cattle the mortality rate could have been reduced if the epizooty had been allowed to run its natural course. But even if it could have been reduced, the gain would have been more than offset by the economic wastes involved in a long period of quarantine.

25X1

3.

25X1 25X1

It began to be used in the UESR in 1932. It is a stable and highly effective vaccine but it was dangerous to use. There was the constant hazard of a slight everdose, which could be fatal. If the bottles containing the vaccine were not immediately and carefully destroyed, there was danger of spreading the disease. The law required that all these bottles be burned. Despite the most careful precautions, veterinarians and their assistants frequently infected small cuts or scratches on their hands with the vaccins and suffered mild but painful cases of the disease. Another problem was the incidence of local abscesses at the point of injection as a result of uncleanliness or intra-muscular injection.

This vaccine consisted of live anthrax bacteris inactivated with carbolic acid.

Such abscesses occurred in about five per cent of injections as a result of one of these reasons. The prescribed injection was strictly sub-outaneous but a jumpy animal sometimes caused accidental intra-muscular injections. These

various hazards were a source of irritation to everyone concerned with the vaccine, but the effectiveness of the vaccine was recognised and there was never, any interest in developing a new one.

25X1

-end-

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1